

NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE – May 19, 2026

MINUTES OF THE MEETING

OF

THE NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING TECHNICAL
ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE

May 19, 2026

The Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee was called to order by Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer at 1:03 p.m. on Tuesday, May 19th, 2026. This meeting is being conducted virtually. This meeting was noticed in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law and posted on <https://dss.nv.gov/Home/Features/Public-Information/> the Division of Social Services website.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Owner, CEO, Chief Strategist, Winged Wolf Innovations LLC

Vice Chair Brooke Page, Western Region Managing Director Corporation for Supportive Housing, Nevada

Adrienne Babbitt, Deputy Administrator-Programs, Nevada Housing Division

Arash Ghafoori, Chief Executive Officer, Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth (NPHY)

Dr. Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Executive Director, Nevada Homeless Alliance

Chris Murphey, Grants Manager, Churchill Council on Alcohol and Other Drugs DBA: New Frontier, Nevada

Vevlyn Grant, Care Extender, Mindoula Health

Julee King, Sage Product Manager, The Partnership Center, Ltd

NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE – May 19, 2026

COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:

Dr. Dayona Turner, Title II-Part A State Director, Nevada Department of Education

Dr. Pamela Juniel, Mckinney-Vento Coordinator, Nevada Department of Education

Juawana Grant, Southwest Director, Corporation for Supportive Housing

OTHERS PRESENT:

Nicole Fritz, Social Services Manager, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Carlea Freeman, Family Services Supervisor, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Abigail Bagolor, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Gregory Farris, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Devan King, Administrative Assistant, Homeless to Housing, Nevada Department of Human Services Division of Social Services

Agenda Item I. [Welcome, Call to Order, Roll Call]

Abigail Bagolor:

Good afternoon, and welcome to the meeting of the Governor’s Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee. This meeting has been publicly noticed in compliance with Nevada’s open meeting law. Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer will call the meeting to order.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Good afternoon. It is 1:03 p.m. on May 19th, 2026. I’d like to call the meeting of the Nevada Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee to order. Abigail, will you take roll, please?

Abigail Bagolor: [Roll Call. We Do Have Quorum.]

Agenda Item II. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Item number two on our agenda is public comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments, Abigail?

Abigail Bagolor:

There is none.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will close public comment. There is another public comment at the end of this meeting.

Agenda Item III. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Approval of Minutes from April 21, 2026, Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing Technical Assistance Committee Meeting]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

The minutes should have been attached to the appointment notice in your calendar. Are there any corrections or adjustments? I will entertain a motion to approve the minutes.

Vevlyn Grant:

I motion to approve the minutes.

Julee King:

I second the motion to approve the minutes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a motion and a second to approve the minutes. All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “aye”.

Brooke Page, Chris Murphey, Julee King, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Vevlyn Grant:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions?

Arash Ghafoori, Adrienne Babbitt:

I abstain.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. The minutes are approved. We will move to agenda item number four.

Agenda Item IV. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Around Re-Establishing the Size, Composition, and Potential Term Limits of the ICHHTA Committee]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have been having discussions concerning the size, makeup and term limits of our Committee. We asked the DAG regarding whether the Committee is allowed to have emeritus and alternate members. Because the TAC was established by the Interagency Advisory Council under NRS 232.4983, the DAG suggested that the Committee approach the Council to determine the makeup of the Committee. That may include clarification on whether an emeritus member can be accepted in addition to clarifying their role, their limitations, and how they are defined. In the alternative to requesting or determining whether emeritus members can join, the Committee could invite people to come to Committee meetings to offer opinions based on their history or background because Committee meetings follow Open Meeting Law. If the Committee opts to implement this avenue, the DAG suggested to make it clear as an agenda item that the Committee will accept ongoing information or opinion from X individual. Otherwise, she thinks it is safe to say that an individual who wants to offer an opinion based on their unique experiences and background could offer such commentary during the general public comments sections. Regarding alternate members, under NRS 241.025, unless the designation is authorized by the legal authority under which a public body was created, the public body may not designate a person to attend a meeting of the public body in place of a member of the public body. She doesn't see that there is language under the statutory authority for the Council that allows designating a person to attend or an alternate. To be safe this would be a great question to present to the Council in addition to that regarding emeritus membership. However, one cannot designate an alternate to attend meetings for them. That is the DAG's written response to our questions regarding emeritus and alternate members. I will now open this item for discussion.

Adrienne Babbitt:

The minutes from last meeting indicate that there were good suggestions. Can someone briefly recap the ideas that were presented concerning term limits and consecutive terms?

Arash Ghafouri:

Thank you for raising that point.

Adrienne Babbitt:

It appears that rotation of members on two-year terms was suggested.

Arash Ghafouri:

Is the discussion about composition and group size related to recruitment?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes.

Arash Ghafouri:

We try to meet the middle ground between not over or under engineering. We had healthy discussion. It seems that we got advisement from some state officials that we need to seek advice from the Council that formed us in terms of size and composition. Is that correct?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

It does say that, particularly for emeritus membership, we need to go back to the Council. The Council previously told us to compose the makeup of this group as what it needs to get the work done.

Adrienne Babbitt:

It's up to us to make a recommendation to the Council if we're looking to formalize that. We currently have eleven or twelve members. We can have one person dedicated to rural or tribal communities, one for education, one with lived experience, and one person for justice involved or youth. Then, the rest of the other half are non-specialty designated positions that are left at the discretion of this Committee for the scoring criteria we have already decided. We should try it and if we are missing something, we put it back on the agenda to change it. We need to start somewhere.

Arash Ghafouri:

I agree. I don't know where the emeritus membership came up. It sounds as though Chairwoman Michele is saying that we can proceed with the blend composition. If we want to do something technical like introducing emeritus roles, we will have to go to the Council for comment. We should do what Adrienne suggested and get a final vote consensus on the recommended but not binding blend, number of members and anything else that there is reasonable consensus to be important to the process. Then, we keep building as we go. The material can be brought together for a vote, picking three or four items that are related to this and doing it, fixing or adjusting it as needed.

Chris Murphey:

The emeritus came into the conversation because of me addressing concern that losing members who have been on the committee for over four years would mean losing historical value and the impact that may have on this Committee. Speaking for myself, if I am told my work is done on the committee, there are still other things that I can do. That is the context behind our discussion of emeritus members.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Chris. Catrina?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Before it goes to the Council, it would be important to send them the guidance information to review. This would assist in ensuring the item is on their agenda for voting rather than discussion only and help move the process along quickly.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Catrina. Vevlyn?

Vevlyn Grant:

I am curious concerning the breakdown of the verbiage in the recommendations from the DAG. Are they saying that a person doesn't have to be on the board to provide comments in the public section during our meetings? Does anybody feel they have to be on the board to continue attending these meetings? It seems the recommendation was that a person's work can be done in terms of being a member of the committee, but everybody's welcome to come to the meetings and provide comments during the appropriate time.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That was a great question. The information that we got from the DAG was that anybody can come to the meeting because it is an open meeting. They can give public comment during those sections at the beginning and end of the meetings. A member of the public can't share during other agenda items because of the limitations of open meeting law. The conversation from last month was concerning someone who was historically on the Committee contributing valuable information and would need to wait until public comment at the next meeting due to their lack of status. The conversation was examining whether we risked losing too much longitudinal knowledge by implementing a two-year term limit.

Vevlyn Grant:

Thank you.

Arash Ghafouri:

What are we recommending to the ICHH? Is it about what we decide regarding how to deal with past knowledge and potentially different type of membership classes? Is it also composition and group size? I understood that we had flexibility to do that ourselves.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I don't know if we are going to recommend anything. We need to decide what we want to do. This group is the one that brought up term limits and changing the committee size. We can continue this conversation and determine what we want the composition to be and the limitations. We need to identify the number that needs to be on the group to identify what quorum is.

Arash Ghafouri:

We could be talking about planning, homelessness stuff and figuring out regular updates of what's happening regionally. All these things would further things. In terms of longitudinal perspective, we shouldn't over-engineer and do term limits or make it flexible. We should have two-year term limits that allow a person to be voted back on every time. If the board wants to keep someone on for a perspective or other importance, we can easily do that by voting them back on. I recommend that we have at least two members who have served more than one term on the board at all times. If we can agree on a recommended blend, number of members, and consensus on term limits, let's do it and not need to present anything if we don't have to. We can move forward and govern those new things that we've built concerning membership composition.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I appreciate that insight. Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

If we make a motion, does it have to be all in one or can it be different motions to address size of committee, term limits and composition?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We can break it up into pieces.

Adrienne Babbitt:

What is our current number??

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, is our current number eleven?

Abigail Bagolor:

That is correct.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Could we entertain a motion on agreeing whether eleven feels reasonable for the size of this committee?

Arash Ghafoori:

I forgot what everyone's input was concerning the number of people.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

We were talking about twelve or thirteen.

Julee King:

The idea of expanding the committee came up because there were a couple of applicants or candidates who were especially qualified. We did not want to decide on one or the other. We thought that enlarging the size of the committee would allow both to join. It turned out to not be an issue because someone left the committee. Having eleven members has been working. We should keep it at eleven and move on to the next thing.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I move to keep the ICHHTA at eleven members.

Julee King:

I second the motion.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a motion and a second. Is there any further discussion? All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "aye".

Brooke Page, Chris Murphey, Julee King, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Vevlyn Grant, Adrienne Babbitt, Arash Ghafoori:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

All those opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion carries. The size of our committee will be eleven members.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

What is the current term limit?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

There is no term limit.

Arash Ghafoori:

I make a motion to introduce a term limit of two years with the ability for people to be voted back on and no more than half being able to rotate off during one vote.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Is there a limit on the number of consecutive terms a person can serve?

Arash Ghafoori:

None. The consensus of the group is that we should avoid over-engineering something due to concerns about longitudinal stuff.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Is that something that we would need to recommend to the Council?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That is something we can decide.

Adrienne Babbitt:

If we are going to do two-year terms, they would be unlimited, so long as a person wants to serve, others feel like they are contributing and there are no new members competing for a spot.

Brooke Page:

I agree that we should have term limits. Is this in perpetuity? If a person has already been on the committee for four years, is their term over?

Adrienne Babbitt:

There are currently no new applicants. As Arash mentioned, we can't have everybody fall off at the same time. We should look at the length of time people have already served and have those on a one-year cycle. The other people who have served less would be on a two-year cycle. We should decide on a month and year and try it.

Arash Ghafoori:

We could do Class A and Class B members with members up for vote in certain years. That is what we do on some boards. Then, no more than half the members can go off. You can still introduce new board members and make needed changes without having to worry about who was where or what. We had this discussion at the National Network for Youth Board concerning introducing term limits and we did it in perpetuity or limits to the terms. Regardless of tenure, everyone started at zero that day.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

The guidance related to this is that each committee needs a chair and one voting member of the ICHH. If a person that is a member of this is no longer on the Council, how would that work? That's where my seat is. We will eventually need to figure out what that will look like if a person falls off that committee. Do they have to go to a vote? Would they come to this one and be just a sole member instead of a member of both?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That might be the exception. It may be that every two years they ask the Council if there's someone else on the Council that wants to serve. If not, the person who has been on this Committee is then asked if they want to continue to serve.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

The Council seats are for four years, not two. I like the two-year terms with no limit. I make a motion to set two-year terms without limit if individuals are revoted in every two years.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a motion on the table. Did we want to add anything concerning the number of people that can't be voted off at a specific time?

Adrienne Babbitt:

No more than fifty percent.

Arash Ghafoori:

I support the fifty percent.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

I make a motion to set two-year, unlimited terms as long as individuals are voted on with the limit of fifty percent able to be voted off at one time.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I second the motion.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Is there any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying "aye".

Brooke Page, Chris Murphey, Julee King, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Vevlyn Grant, Adrienne Babbitt, Arash Ghafoori:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

All opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion carries. There are a few details that we will need to work out such as when this starts and if we will have groups A and B. It makes sense that the first group to be revoted would be those of us that have been on the longest.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Can we ask Abigail to list the current membership and how long they’ve been serving on the Committee? I would recommend July 1, given that is the state’s fiscal year.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, is that something you and your team could do?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Did we want to tackle composition?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We must have at least one voting member of the ICHH. Is that right?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Yes.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We have ten other positions. Making sure education, lived experience, justice involve and youth are all represented. What other areas of focus should always be represented on this committee?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

We mentioned development at a time. Maybe not a developer, but somebody in the process over to development would be important. What are your thoughts about workforce? Does that cross over into education, justice and substance use? Should it be one person or can somebody have two or three different skill sets. It doesn’t have to be one per topic, correct?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

If you get one per topic, you’re going to get an unwieldy number. Think about the skill sets that you need on the group, not just stakeholder representation.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Data analysis, for example.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Whatever this group thinks they need.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We should look at our strategic plan and action steps to make sure that we have somebody with the skills it will take to implement those things. I don't want to subscribe us too heavily in what the makeup and composition should be. There's a couple of focus areas that could be easy to not have represented. We want to make sure we are always represented. We want to make sure that we always have somebody with a youth focus in this group.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes. Also, we always want somebody with lived experience.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We are all talented. We have a variety of skills. I want to make sure that we're being intentional about what's recommended. This doesn't have to be an action step, but we should look at what this group is trying to accomplish and what voices we include in perpetuity.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Adrienne. That makes sense, not prescribing the whole committee, but saying that at a minimum, the committee should have these however many representatives.

Arash Ghafouri:

Is there a difference between subject matter expertise and technical expertise? Concerning youth homelessness, I'm a subject matter expert but independent of that, I may be able to offer technical expertise such as quantitative or qualitative analysis. Is there any technical expertise this group needs or is it our job to get the best subject matter experts of different domains such as education, workforce and lived experience? Then, use that to recommend things that we need that are technical in nature such as study, research or quantitative analysis? That would help me understand whether we are looking for many different subject matter experts. I agree with everything we've been saying in terms of how to go about making sure we don't make every member something. At minimum, we should always have a composition of two-termers, lived experience, youth and veterans as part of the mix. We can use that to guide the way we vote on things and membership moving forward.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Historically, this group has had to do its own work. There has not been funding to support anything we've asked to be done. When we needed something or asked to do something, we needed to do it. Maybe we can make a change and get some funding to support the work of this group. There has been the need to have people on the committee that can develop strategic and action plans, facilitate meetings, and elicit information from those people to populate the strategic and action plans. There was a time when we had people on the committee that did not have those skills sets.

Arash Ghafoori:

Thank you. That makes sense. In the absence of getting more funding, each member needs to bring to the table what they are able. We need subject matter experts. We also need to be cognizant, without spending excessive time focusing on technical expertise, that we have people who can get stuff done and understanding this group has work to do aside from making recommendations.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Correct.

Arash Ghafoori:

Doctor Catrina, did we do make recommendations concerning the type of members?

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Yes. We talked about what it should look like but didn't do anything in our actual work around that.

Arash Ghafoori:

Adrienne mentioned looking at the charter or guiding document. People have done a couple different things. There are some people absent. Madam Chair, do you think we are comfortable making a recommendation or should we collect information and come back? We're in a good momentum and trying to get stuff done. Composition is important since we introduced term limits and didn't change the group size.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We are making good progress. If the group feels confident in making recommendations and voting on minimum composition, we have quorum and can do that. I recommend a member of the ICHH, an education representative, a person with lived experience, a youth representative, and someone from the housing field. I hesitate to prescribe half or more of who needs to be on the committee.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I agree with those four. We should consider state representation to make sure we are not too focused in the North or South and have rural, tribal and frontier representation.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That is a great point that we might need to consider.

Arash Ghafoori:

The board needs to be conscious of geography in the decision making and consider whether each of these existing things has a state or local context to create balance. If we introduce one more to the list, for example tribal, that helps address geography and special populations in a different way and keeps the recommendations to five categories of lived experience, youth, education, an ICHH member and tribal. That could be a good start.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

Since I sit as the lived experience seat on the ICHH, that may cover it. We should also explore people with more recent lived experience of homelessness to eventually fill that seat. Mine is sixteen years ago.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Katrina. As information, you are not the only person on this committee with lived experience.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Can we refresh on the new criteria we are using for membership? Is lived experience represented with a point value? I want to make sure we are not duplicating efforts.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Are you asking about the scoring matrix?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Yes. We recently revisited, changed and adopted a new scoring matrix. Can we look at that?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes. Abigail, do you have access to that?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes. We will be sharing it.

Catrina Grigsby-Thedford:

I don't think there were any points.

Vevlyn Grant:

It was a yes or no thing. They didn't give it any points toward making a person a viable candidate to sit on this committee. I remember it being on there as a yes or no question.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Is it a factor that is considered for membership?

Vevlyn Grant:

It doesn't add value to determining whether to make a member more competitive. When Juawana and I applied, it was a one-to-five scale. Now, it is binary.

Adrienne Babbitt:

State geographic representation is a considering factor in our new scoring matrix. I am comfortable with it not being a dedicated position on the committee. Can we vote one at a time to make it easy to change? Do we vote on the composition of the eleven members, identifying dedicated positions? Can one person do more than one?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We can do whatever we want.

Brooke Page:

This committee has historically been at the whim of whoever applies. I am worried that creating several composition factors will limit our ability to meet the committee's makeup and achieve quorum. Could adding another layer of composition impact our ability to recruit? We can create a list of things to be considered and factors to be weighed during the application process. That will allow us to bring in a person who has justice involvement background in addition to a person who has older adult homelessness or housing experience. All those things are important to the work of this committee. Placing one population or factor as more important than others and not receiving an applicant who has that background limits our ability to be effective. Having a slate of factors that we evaluate as we are scoring to look at applicants on a case-by-case basis keeps it more general.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That makes sense in theory. In practice, we need to consider how that plays out because of historical experience on how the original scoring came about. When there is turnover, the information concerning things we consider doesn't translate well to the next group. We must put in writing the reason we did things in a standard operating procedure or process that can be replicated for future committee members, so we aren't reliant on somebody's memory or historical verbal translation.

Brooke Page:

I agree with the standard operating process. Having a process makes sense. I'm trying to minimize creating a more restrictive process that impacts our ability to recruit.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I agree, especially when we are considering a tribal member or something similar when we don't have people come forward. We have a limited number of people who have applied to be on the committee. We don't want to restrict the ability to do the work.

Julee King:

I agree that we don't want to dismiss somebody who has experience with a specific population because of having a different focus. I see the value in ensuring we have certain representations. It could be a matter of using specific language. We could say something should be but avoid saying it must or is required.

Chris Murphey:

Our quorum is six members. If we designate four that are required to fit in a specific slot and are considering a fifty percent rotation, what happens when that person hits their limit and they choose to step down? How do we maintain our fifty percent rotation every two years?

Adrienne Babbitt:

I agree that we don't make it overly complicated to get new membership. I also want to make sure that we are being intentional and considerate of the needs of Nevada and making sure that we are not overly focused or eliminating a voice due to not having a membership. We have a strategic issue on education

in the strategic plan. Is that because we had somebody on this committee who was strong on education? If Arash would have been here during the creation of the strategic plan, would there be a youth specific goal? We should ensure that we have the people in the room that can move this work forward. We should consider diversity while making sure this is a Nevada plan and be proactive about recruitment or hearing voices for these people. If we do not have somebody who has an education or youth background on the committee, we will work with whoever wants to serve in this capacity. However, we need to talk to these individuals that have this subject matter expertise to inform this work. It's important that we identify the specialty populations that we wish to consider. If we don't have representation on this committee, we should go into the community and talk to those people to make sure that is reflected in our planning efforts.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That was well said, Adrienne. It's important for all the work done by this committee, regardless of somebody having a seat. We have the work groups and task forces to ensure we get the voices of the people across the state who represent various stakeholders and the people we serve. We can't do this in a vacuum. Not everybody can sit in these seats. We must go out and get their voices. We must be their voice. We can't do that without being out in the community. We are coming up with a list of representative stakeholder groups that we ensure we touch base with or consider as we recruit and advance our work. We've talked about prioritizing seats for a couple of areas but not mandating that they only be for those specific subgroups. We could decide that we have eleven members, new scoring criteria and ensure we have a diverse group when bringing on new members and rotating term limits.

Arash Ghafouri:

I agree. That would be a good way to move forward. These are guiding principles, but we are not overly structured to address several valid concerns about putting ourselves in a situation where we are unable to easily have a committee functioning. The guiding principles should not be necessarily anchored to a requirement for a seat. Besides the mandated member of the ICHH, the rest of them should be written as part of the scoring and ranking process recommendations as things to keep in mind when voting on candidates for membership.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Does someone want to make a motion?

Arash Ghafouri:

I move that all the recommendations that we have about the composition and balance to the board be captured and put as part of the scoring and ranking for existing members to consider when voting on candidates.

Chair Michele Fuller Hallauer:

We have a motion on the table. Do we have a second?

Brooke Page:

I second.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a motion and a second. Is there any discussion? All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “aye”.

Brooke Page, Chris Murphey, Julee King, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Vevlyn Grant, Adrienne Babbitt, Arash Ghafouri:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion carries. We will close this agenda item.

Agenda Item V. [For Possible Action – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding the Establishment of Three Work Groups for the Three Prioritized Strategic Issues: Housing, Homeless Prevention and Intervention, and Wraparound Services. Discussion of Possible Recommendations Related to the Strategic Issues]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, do we need to take something to the ICHH and ask them permission to create the work groups?

Abigail Bagolor:

It was a recommendation from our DAG, but you, as a committee, can proceed with the working groups. You must formally vote on it.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We must formally vote to create the working groups?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

At the last meeting, we neglected to formally vote to create the working groups. We now need to take a formal vote to establish these working groups. We discussed creating three working groups composed of committee members and subsequently including community members from across the state. These work groups are specific to the top three strategic issues that we have recommended to the ICHH, which are Housing, Homeless Prevention and Intervention, and Wraparound Services. Could I have a recommendation to create those working groups?

Julee King:

I move that we create working groups for Housing, Homeless Prevention and Intervention, and Wraparound Services.

Adrienne Babbitt:

I second the motion.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We have a motion and a second. Is there any discussion? All those in favor, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “aye”.

Brooke Page, Chris Murphey, Julee King, Catrina Grigsby-Thedford, Vevlyn Grant, Adrienne Babbitt, Arash Ghafouri:

Aye.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any opposed, please unmute yourself and indicate by saying “nay”. Any abstentions? Motion carries. The working groups are established. Do we have any report back from the working groups? Adrienne?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Juawana, Vevlyn and I met to discuss the Housing strategic issue, which is massive. It has eight goals with each goal having multiple steps and strategies. We tried to identify the lead agency that was already doing this work and could contribute to sharing out what was happening. We discovered it to be a larger lift than the three of us could accomplish. We decided to have additional review by members of the ICHHTA and collect information from the community by engaging some upcoming conferences and having participants share the work they are doing in this strategic issue. The work that is happening is not all coordinated. Nobody knows what everybody else is doing. If we gather what has already moved since the strategic plan was put in place, we could ensure that we are leveraging the work already happening instead of starting from scratch.

Vevlyn Grant:

We started reviewing the goals in the Housing portion of the strategic plan. We realized this should be reviewed across the board. It appears we have not reviewed this iteration that we recommended. We began trying to identify the departments and determined that we could have those departments present to the ICHHTA concerning their efforts. That aligns with the work group that I was in with Brooke and Chris.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We talked about gaining momentum with other state agencies to share information concerning their work in housing outside the Housing Division. It could be helpful to request support from the governor’s office in communicating that housing is an issue and requesting agencies, developers and non-profits to participate by sharing the work they are doing.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What are the next steps for you all?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We can have an item on our next two meetings to look at the Housing strategic issue. This will allow us all to contribute in real time concerning things that are happening or we all commit to looking at this and putting in notes of things that are happening around these issues. This ensures that we are capturing things that are happening that are contributing to these action steps. Getting buy-in and participation from our conference organizers to leverage the volume of representation of housing in our state to gather information would be helpful. Those conversations have started but are in the planning stages. Then, we should make a formal request for the ICHH to ask our governor's office to direct the state to participate in the strategic plan if they are working in housing or something that touches our strategic plan.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, do we have a report from Homeless Prevention and Intervention or Wraparound Services?

Abigail Bagolor:

We did not receive any volunteers to lead those two groups. They did not meet.

Julee King:

I volunteered for Homeless Prevention and Intervention and was hoping other people would volunteer so we could meet.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Vevlyn and Catrina volunteered to be on the Homeless Prevention and Intervention group. Nobody has volunteered to lead that group.

Vevlyn Grant:

We did not have someone to lead Wraparound Services. Chris, Brooke and I met last week on Wednesday. I emailed the notes to you during this call. I'm happy to meet whenever for the Homeless Prevention and Intervention group. In Wraparound Services, we locked in on the third goal without reviewing because of the overwhelming nature of attempting to fill in an entire set of goal action items. We identified some departments from which we will request that the ICHH designate people to present to the ICHHTA. We have some clarifying questions to ask the stakeholders, departments and organizers known to house resources in wraparound services. It was an informative meeting. Thank you to Chris and Brooke. You have informed me about what is happening in wraparound services and lived experience. We identified people that we would like to seek collaboration or partnership with such as Nevada Health Authority, Department of Public and Behavioral Health, managed care organizations and any corrections and justice re-entry programs. We would like the ICHH to utilize their authority to encourage these people to present on what they are doing. We need to know which organizations and state departments are working together to complete these goals. We have a detailed request on how to

use the presentation steps. Our recommendation is to have members of the ICHH utilize their authority to request that each of the agencies be assigned a specific section of the strategic plan and provide us with three to five actionable recommendations to move the needle on some of those goals and steps.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. I appreciate you taking the initiative and meeting to have that conversation. Regarding Homeless Prevention and Intervention group, Julee, Vevlyn and Doctor Catrina volunteered to be on that group. I will be glad to volunteer as well but does not have the capacity to be the lead at this time.

Julee King:

I didn't realize other people had volunteered for the group. I would be happy to reach out to people to get a meeting on the books. I will send an email.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you, Julee.

Brooke Page:

Thank you to Vevlyn and Adrienne for their reports. We have done the foundational work. We've been given the authority to help inform future ICHH meeting agendas and strategy. We need the voices of key state agencies that oversee the service funding and programs that are ideally impacting people who have experienced or at risk of homelessness. Using that information to inform the action plan would help us understand and provide an accountability tool to the state agencies. This will ensure we understand what's happening and how these programs are moving the needle. Distilling the working group's efforts and providing recommendations and strategy to the ICHH will help us move this action plan forward.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you Brooke. Is there a need for targeted feedback from general stakeholders across the state? We have a couple of upcoming conferences, such as the Nevada Housing Coalition Conference and the statewide Nevada Homeless Alliance Conference, that could provide opportunities to get feedback. Is that the appropriate venue and what are the appropriate questions?

Brooke Page:

Having an update at the Nevada Homeless Alliance Conference is an important venue to educate concerning the strategic plan and keep people engaged in these conversations. We have done listening sessions to create the action plan and identify the priorities to elevate to the ICHH. We've been waiting to get approval to move forward with addressing those action items. We shouldn't belabor adding another layer of public comment for things we've already been approved to move forward with. It's important that we continue to be a feedback loop, provide updates, and keep people informed. I encourage us to be intentional about moving the action plan forward and identifying work that's happening.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

I wasn't sure if those attendees might have information about work happening outside of state agencies that we or the ICHH may not have. There is disjointed work that's happening across the state.

Chris Murphey:

I agree with that. We have our three CoCs in the state that are focused on homelessness. It would be good for the action plan to have everybody, including behavioral health providers and everyone across the state that provides homeless services, to get all that mapped into a statewide plan on how we are addressing homelessness. That should be our ultimate goal. Right now, we are operating disjointedly. Most of us don't know what other people are doing. Information from any source that's helping us build a database of who's doing what is going to be important in moving this forward.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

There was a request to have an agenda item next month to specifically look at the Housing strategic issue. Adrienne, would it be enough for us to have a standing agenda item for us to discuss possible action regarding the work with the three prioritized strategic issues and the work groups? We can set aside time to dive into each of those under one agenda item. Are you requesting an individual agenda item dedicated to housing?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We used to have a standing agenda item to look at all the action steps and strategic issues. It was overwhelming. I don't want to limit what we're allowed to discuss about the strategic issue, but we should be intentional about what we are trying to accomplish and what things we spend time discussing. We can show you what our working group has identified as a lead department, collaborating department, comments and next steps in our strategic issues. We can hear from this diverse group that touches on things that we aren't all aware of concerning what is happening in these specific issues. If there is time to do a working session to talk about housing and activities that are happening, I would like to do that. I don't want to monopolize that conversation, but the conversation needs to be broken up.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Any thoughts from anybody else?

Vevlyn Grant:

I agree with Adrienne. It needs to be broken up.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Should we have an agenda item for each individual working group, or do we want to focus on one strategic issue per month?

Vevlyn Grant:

We could start with an agenda item for each working group and see if that develops into a particular strategic issue needing to become its own item. Each work group could be its own action item until we decide there is a specific issue or goal within the strategic plan that we need to itemize. That is where

the presentations would come in. Do the presentations need to be in any particular order due to us asking questions from each work group to those state departments?

Adrienne Babbitt:

Over the next three or four meetings, we should create an agenda item for discussion and review of the strategic plan and intentionally list the amount of time we wish to spend on each strategic issue. We shouldn't limit ourselves but not try to do it all in one meeting. Let's keep this as one agenda item for the next few meetings until we feel comfortable recognizing we are going to get more information from our partners. We can also independently decide to look at this and identify things we know are happening.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

How do the rest of you feel about that?

Brooke Page:

Are we reviewing the entire strategic issue or the goal that we've voted to prioritize?

Adrienne Babbitt:

The housing group was looking at the entire strategic issue. We did not get far and only covered one or two of the priority goals.

Brooke Page:

We identified the top three strategic issues and goals we wanted the ICHH to prioritize in the memo that we sent them. We are honing in on how we want them prioritized and giving them a call to action by citing the strategy. It's not all eight goals for housing. It should only be one that we've identified that we are elevating as most important and developing a strategy.

Adrienne Babbitt:

We decided that we need to hear more information about what's happening in our state as a collective to make a true recommendation to the committee. We were taking a step back from those three action steps because of the need to gather more information on our strategic plan to know what's happening, what can be leveraged and what next steps the ICHH could take. If I misunderstood, we could share out the working groups and cover each working group doing the top goal in one meeting.

Brooke Page:

Thank you for providing that context. I was under the impression that we were going to open the committees for broader discussion about the remaining goals. We have already voted and prioritized these as the first items that we were going to focus on and identify strategies for. We need to all get on the same page to ensure that we're tackling the same strategies.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Are the working groups only discussing one goal for each prioritized issue?

Brooke Page:

Yes, because we have eight strategic issues. We've focused on three and identified the top priority. For

Wraparound Services, it was goal three that we were focusing on and prioritizing. For housing, it was goal one. It is a lot to take on. The Committee meet initially to identify what we would want the ICHH to know and create some action items for the ICHH to help elevate. We have a lot in this work plan. The CoCs can help inform us and we could get that broader feedback on the rest of this work plan. We were focusing on one goal and issue to move that conversation to the ICHH to help us start advancing.

Adrienne Babbitt:

For Housing, the identified priority was goal four. Within goal four, there are five activities. Are we focusing on all five activities? There's one activity that is highlighted.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

On page ten of the minutes, Juawana indicated that an action step has opportunities to identify next steps such as lead departments, collaborative departments, and deciding a time frame. We could do some work groups for each of the three priorities, identify missing pieces, and bring that to the greater group to decide where to go from there. Brooke stated the intention of the next iteration of the strategic plan is to get state agencies to see their role in the larger context of addressing homelessness. There are many state agencies that are doing work that we may not be tracking. Having an opportunity to incorporate that into the next iteration of the strategic plan was the intent of the third section of the memo. In addition to the action plan activities and tracking what work is happening to update the action plan, we should consider bringing state agencies to the ICHH meetings and recommending that they present on the work they're doing to address homelessness. If there are specific budgets, funding and action items being used, we should document them so that we can use that information to inform the next strategic plan. That was the goal of providing a more statewide actionable plan. Our work was to identify those state agencies or departments attached to those priority goals. Am I correct?

Brooke Page:

Yes. That's the approach we took in the Wraparound Services group. We looked at our action plan goal, which was action plan goal three, and there was a lot in there about funding. For us to understand where the state is around funding for wraparound services, we want the ICHH to request that the Nevada Health Authority give an update about their service programs like In Lieu of Services or state Medicaid program, and how these programs are helping to address homelessness. Not only would that help update the action plan around goal three but getting that update could help inform the next iteration of the strategic plan. We identified a slew of state agencies that could help us answer questions related to goal three.

Adrienne Babbitt:

The Housing working group identified non-state agencies as collaborating departments, but the lead departments were state groups.

Brooke Page:

That's a great starting point, getting as much information to start populating the action plan. We can

continue that conversation at our next meeting and bring that forward to keep informing our work. If we have gaps, we can elevate them to the ICHH. That can be our recommendation for their next meeting.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

That might be the template for us to use and can test with the Housing strategic issues. If it works well, we could implement that with the other sections.

Adrienne Babbitt:

Can we keep the strategic issues on the agenda to review for the next meeting?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Yes. Do we keep them together under one agenda item or do we separate them as individual agenda items?

Adrienne Babbitt:

We keep them together and carry it over to the next meeting according to the capacity and participation of this group.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Is there any other discussion under this agenda item? Seeing and hearing none, I will close agenda item number five and move to agenda item number six.

Agenda Item VI. [For Information Only – Discussion of Agenda Items for the Next Meeting on June 16, 2026]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

What else do we want on the agenda? We have the discussion and review of the three prioritized strategic issues. Is there anything else we want on the agenda for next month?

Brooke Page:

Would we want to recommend action items or ideas for the ICHH meetings?

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Abigail, when do they meet again?

Abigail Bagolor:

They will meet this coming Thursday, May 21st, 2026.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

When will their next meeting be after that?

Abigail Bagolor:

August 20th, 2026.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Brooke, would you like to recommend that be on both June and July ICHHTA agendas?

Brooke Page:

We can have it as a standing agenda item and table it if we don't have any recommendations.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Anything else? We asked Abigail's team to share the length of time existing members have been on the committee. Is that correct?

Abigail Bagolor:

Yes.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We need an agenda item to review that information and a possible action.

Adrienne Babbitt:

It would be a discussion and possible action to group current members into two groups and sort them into two term cycles.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. Anything else that we want on the agenda for next month? Seeing none, I will close agenda item number six and move to agenda item number seven.

Agenda Item VII. [General Public Comments]

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

We will move into our last public comment for this meeting. No action may be taken upon a matter raised until the matter has been specifically added to the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes. If you are making a public comment via phone, please call 1-775-321-6111, ID 731 029 308 followed by pound. We are now open to public comments. Please unmute yourself and state your name for the committee. Do we have any public comment? Do we have any written comments, Abigail?

Abigail Bagolor:

There is none.

Chair Michele Fuller-Hallauer:

Thank you. This was an exciting meeting. I appreciate everybody's contribution. As we begin discussing term limits next month, I am glad to step down as chair of this committee if anybody would like to step up. Think about that over the next month. If you would like to step into the chair position, feel free to volunteer. If you want to nominate one of your colleagues who sits on the committee, feel free to do that. I have sat in this seat for a while. I am glad to step aside and let someone else have the privilege of

NEVADA INTERAGENCY ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS TO HOUSING
TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COMMITTEE – May 19, 2026

sitting in this seat. It is 3:26 pm. I will adjourn this meeting of the Technical Assistance Committee of the Interagency Advisory Council on Homelessness to Housing. Have a great day.

Agenda Item VIII. [Adjournment 3:26 PM]

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:



Abigail Bagolor, Committee Moderator

APPROVED BY:



Michele Fuller-Hallauer, Chair

Date: 06/04/2026